

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

**CNS-UCSB** Center for Nanotechnology in Society

From Space flight to Foresight: Exploring the social movement spillover between Space and nanotechnology

Josie G. Garong, Oxnard College Major: Civil Engineering Faculty Advisor: Prof. W. Patrick McCray Mentor: Mary Ingram-Waters, PhD Candidate Funded by NSF #SES-0531184





#### <u>1970s & 1980s</u> Pro-Space Movement

<u>1980s & 1990s</u> Pro-Nano Movement

#### <u>Space-Nano</u> <u>Movement</u>



www.sciencenews.org/articles/20021005/bog9.aso0713

ww.nssdc.gsfc.nasa.govdatabase\_master catalog071307

Nanotubes - httpwww.space.com071307



Through historical exploration of nanotechnology...

- Anticipate future societal and ethical implications of nanotechnology
- View nano as an effort to develop technological solutions to social and economic problems
- Historians of science and technology have an opportunity, perhaps even a responsibility to challenge the "standard model" of nanotechnology history



ww.nasa.gov/.../133824main\_cargo\_high.jpg072507

### **The Standard Model of the Nano History**



Standard model is used to frame general articles
1959 - Richard Feynman's famous speech - "Plenty of Room at the Bottom"
1986 - Eric Drexler published the book "The Engines of Creation"
Nobel prize G. K. Binnig and H. Rohrer - scanning tunneling microscope
1996 - Nobel prize Richard Smalley - helped discover buckyballs
2000 - Passage of the National Nanotechnology Initiative





1986



NATIONAL NANOTECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE

2000

1986



blogs.britannica.com/blog/main/wp-content/uploads/2007/03/image6.jpg0723



Hidden histories of nano is the alternative to established versions of its historical stories

...probing the possibilities

History of modern science and technology
 Supplements taken-for-granted origin of stories

#### Nano's science fiction roots

- Gives interesting clues about its current status
- "What ifs" of scientific innovation
- Nano's emergence in the public imagination
  - Science advocacy groups with futuristic goals

## **Research Methodology**

Target population: pro-space and pro-nano advocacy groups

#### **Data Sources**

Primary source materials -Newsletters – e.g., L5 -Web materials -Interviews -Policy documents

#### <u>Data Analysis</u>

Scanning texts Organizing Coding

-Thematic elements e.g., Drexler, nanotechnology, -Funding sources -Contributors

## **Data Collection**

L5 News 1980

#### Scan document





# searchable PDF file



#### Gather lots of data

#### File Edit View Favorites Tools Help 🔇 Back 🕤 🕥 - 🎓 🔎 Search 💫 Folders 📊 🗸 Address 🛅 C:\Documents and Settings\cns-intern\Desktop\L5 News 1980 File and Folder Tasks ٢ April 1980) August 1980 December 1980 February 1980 🤭 Make a new folder 🔕 Publish this folder to the January 1980 July 1980 June 1980 March 1980 Web 😂 Share this folder May 1980 November 1980 October 1980 September 1980 Other Places \* Size: 12.0 MB Details \* Files: November 1980.pdf



## After data collection...

#### Looking for keywords

Use find command

- keyword e.g., nanotechnology
- author e.g., Drexler
- phrase where keyword used

Chart citation example in Excel file



## Next Step

 Make more definitive claims about people who moved between the pro-space and pro-nanotechnology movements.

Narrow findings to elucidate mechanisms by which public imagination was evoked regarding nanotechnology.

## **Acknowledgements**



# NSF

#### **MY SINCERE THANKS**

Dr. Evelyn Hu, Scientific Director of CNSI Dr. Barbara Herr Harthorn, Director of CNS Dr. Fiona Goodchild, CNSI Education Director Liu-Yen Kramer, CNSI Education Co-Director

Prof. W. Patrick McCray, Faculty Advisor Mary C. Ingram-Waters, Mentor Emily Kang, CNS Education Coordinator

Samantha Freeman, INSET Coordinator Dr. Nick Arnold, INSET Community College Liaison Luke Bawazer, INSET Supermentor

To my colleagues and all the others who helped me







# The End

### Less examined histories of nanotechnology

 Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE) - perfected in the1970s – John Arthur and Al Cho – Bell Laboratories – development of MBE allows for the precise fabrication of new materials and nano-structures predates the scanning and atomic forces microscopes of the 1980s



#### Molecular Beam Epitaxy

1968: Bell Labs' Al Cho (right) develops molecular beam epitaxy, a technique that enabled semiconductor chips to be made one atomic layer at a time, opening the door to vast improvements in chip manufacturing.

http://www.alcatel-lucent.com/wps/portal/BellLabs072207

#### **Science fiction roots**

 A role of public imagination in fostering policies for nano research

- Public visions of future technologies play an important role in establishing support or opposition for policy

- Futurist groups – cultivate an environment of technological optimism within

- Political and social acceptance of new technologies like nano could fluorish

**Example:** futurist groups initially devoted to promoting the space frontier in the 1970s shifted to pro-technology Activism, including nano, in the 1980s



McCray, W. Patrick (2007) "Exploring Nanotechnology's Hidden History" http://www.aip.org/history/newsletter, July 19.

## **Additional Data Gathered**



## Microtechnology

- -technology with features near one micrometre
- -one millionth of a metre, or 10<sup>-6</sup> metre, or 1µm
- led to Industrial Revolution
- 1960s arrayed large numbers of microscopic transistors on a single chip
   microelectronic circuits could be built
- improved performance, functionality and reliability
- cost effective and decreased volume



## The bottom-up approach

These seek to arrange smaller components into more complex assemblies.

materials and devices are built from molecular components which assemble themselves chemically by principles of molecular recognitionConstruct well-defined structures out of DNA and other nucleic acids

## The top-down approach

These seek to create smaller devices by using larger ones to direct their assembly.

- nano-objects are constructed from larger entities without atomic-level control

Many technologies descended from conventional solidstate silicon methods for fabricating microprocessors are now capable of creating features smaller than 100 nm, falling under the definition of nanotechnology.